

Isaiah Schauer GENERAL BIOLOGY II-LAB

## BC Survey - Results 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S), GENERAL BIOLOGY II-LAB

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) survey results for "GENERAL BIOLOGY II-LAB".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Isaiah Schauer 2022 Fall (16-Week - 221S) GENERAL BIOLOGY II-LAB (BIOL1107 01221S) No. of responses = 2



### Survey Results

#### 3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=2 av.=4.5 strongly disagree N/A were clear. md=4.550 dev.=0.7 The workload in this course was well distributed n=2 strongly disagree N/A av.=3.5 md=3.5 throughout the term. 50 dev.=2.1 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=2 strongly disagree N/A av.=4.5 md=4.5 50 dev.=0.7 Assessments/exams were based on material n=2 strongly disagree N/A covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=4.5 md=4.5group activities, etc. 50 dev.=0.7 The instructor made learning relevant to my field of n=2 strongly disagree N/A av.=2.5 md=2.5 study, career, or degree. dev.=2.1 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=2 av.=2.5 strongly disagree N/A methods (examples: group discussions, student md=2.5 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=0.7 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=2 strongly disagree N/A av.=4 assignments. md=4 50 dev.=1.4 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=2 strongly disagree N/A treated students respectfully, and used appropriate av.=4.5 md=4.5 language during class. dev.=0.7

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated strongly disatimeframe.	5		0%	0% 2	0%		0%	5	0% 6	N/A	n=2 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.	5		0%	0%	0%		0%	5	0% 6	N/A	n=2 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:											
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.	5		0%	50%		0%		50%	0% 5	Very Satisfied	n=2 av.=3 md=3 dev.=1.4
5.	Course Recommendation:											
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	5		100%	0%		0%		0%	<b>0%</b> 5	Very Likely	n=1 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
7	Student Demographics:											
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degree or cer	rtificate	e?									
	0 - 30 completed cre										0%	n=2
	31 - 60 completed cre	edits [									100%	
	61 - 90 completed cre	edits									0%	
	91 + completed cre	edits									0%	
 7.2)	This course is instructed as:											
	a face-to-face co	urse 🗌									100%	n=2
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online instruct	tion)									0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a specific ti	ime)									0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course materials at any ti	ime)									0%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:											
	5 or less credit he	ours									0%	n=2
	6 - 8 credit he	ours									0%	
	9 - 11 credit ho	ours									0%	
	12 or more credit he	ours _									100%	

7.4) My current major is:								
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=2						
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	100%							
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%							
Associate of Science (AS)	0%							
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	0%							
Certificate in a technical area	0%							
<sup>7.5)</sup> I am currently a high school student taking college courses.								
Yes	0%	n=2						
No (	100%							

# Comments Report

## 6. Open Response:

- <sup>6.1)</sup> What are the strengths of this course?
- Great way to learn hands on
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- Reduce the amount of content in labs, especially non-dissection-related content in the later labs.
- <sup>6.3)</sup> Any further, constructive comments?
- Some of the labs are exceptionally tedious, especially in the latter half of the semester. A recent one had over 20 "record your observations" questions where you need to find something meaningful to say about the third fish jaw in a row.